UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/643,315            | 08/19/2003                     | John Spiridigliozzi  | 760-100 CIP/RCE      | 3552             |
|                       | 7590 08/11/200<br>& BARON, LLP | EXAMINER             |                      |                  |
| 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE |                                |                      | PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D |                  |
| SYOSSET, NY 11791     |                                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER         |                  |
|                       |                                | 3738                 |                      |                  |
|                       |                                |                      |                      |                  |
|                       |                                |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                       |                                |                      | 08/11/2008           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/643,315 SPIRIDIGLIOZZI ET AL.   |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  |  | CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE   | 3738   |  |
| 5  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |
| Period fo  | · •  | VIO OET TO EVEIDE - MONTH  | ((0) OF THETA (00) PANO  |  |
| WHI0 - Exte<br>after<br>- If No<br>- Failt<br>Any  | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so fit time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON | DN.<br>timely filed<br>m the mailing date of this communication.<br>IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M   | <u>lay 2008</u> .  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the n |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, <sup>2</sup>  | 153 O.G. 213.  |  |
| Disposit   | tion of Claims   |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application  | l.   |  |  |
| ,—   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16,18,34,36 and 38-</u>   |  | eration.   |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |
| 6)🛛  | Claim(s) <u>1-15,17,19-33,35 and 37</u> is/are reject  | ted.   |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |
| 8)[  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.   |  |  |
| Applicat   | tion Papers  |  |  |  |
| 9)   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc   | epted or b) objected to by the   | Examiner.  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | *  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | xaminer. Note the attached Offic   | e Action or form PTO-152.  |  |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |
| 12)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |
|  | ) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received.   |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received in Applica   | ition No   |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio  | •  | ved in this National Stage   |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau  |  |  |  |
| * (  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receiv   | red.   |  |
| Attachmer  | nt(s)  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail I  |  |  |
| 3) Infor   | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15, 17, 19-33, 35, and 37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the recite all the same structural requirements.

In regards to claims 1-15 and 17, Independent claim 1 recites that the implant comprises a first tubular layer of ePTFE and a second tubular layer of a textile material, these layers correspond to the first and third layers of claim 1 in copending Application No. 10/166,842. This claim is broader than the claim of copending Application No. 10/166,842 but it still contains the same structural limitations.

In regards to claims 19-33, 35, and 37, these claims clearly recite all the same structural limitations as claims 1-3 and 5-17 of copending Application No. 10/166,842.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous 112 and all art rejections over the claims are withdrawn. Claim 5 remains objected to because it requires that the first and second layers contact each other. This is already defined in lines 6 and 7 of claim 1: "said first and second layers are secured to each other ... a contacting layer of said first and second layers". Applicant is advised to cancel claim 5 because there are no dependent claims off of it and it adds nothing to the claims. Applicant should also submit the terminal disclaimer so that the application can be passed on to allowance.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,315 Page 5

Art Unit: 3774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

/Christopher D Prone/ /Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774